# Pro Bono Briefing: New Asylum Ban and Expanded Expedited Removal



Kursten Phelps Director of Legal and Social Services

Richard Caldarone Litigation Counsel

July 24, 2019





- Review the Interim Final Rule that effectively bans asylum, with very few exceptions
- Update on litigation challenging the ban
- Identify practice pointers and strategies for your asylum cases
- Review the notice of expanded expedited removal
- Update on litigation challenging the expansion
- Identify practice pointers and critical information for you and Tahirih clients
- Questions



### Overview of the new asylum ban rule

- Effectively applies to all those who "enter or arrive in the United States" across the **southern** border after July 16, 2019, after traveling through one or more countries in which they're not citizens or lawful residents, **unless**:
  - They are Mexican citizens/lawful residents; or
  - They applied for, and were denied, asylum in every country through which they passed en route to the US; or
  - They are victims of severe forms of trafficking (within the meaning applicable in T visa context); or
  - The countries through which they transited are not parties to the Refugee Convention or CAT.
- There is no exception for either non-Central Americans or unaccompanied minors.



### Overview of the new asylum ban rule

- Changes credible fear interviews for those who enter through the southern border.
  - If someone can't show that they meet an exception to the rule, the asylum officer must enter negative credible fear determination.
  - The interview then becomes a reasonable fear interview for withholding of removal/CAT relief.
    - Much higher standard
    - Placed in removal proceeding if they satisfy that standard, otherwise removed to home country.



# Implications of the rule

- Effectively cuts off asylum eligibility for anyone who
  - is not a Mexican national/resident
  - cannot afford to fly into the US
  - cannot survive a journey by boat
- Anyone who patiently waited in Mexico under the metering policy is now ineligible for asylum.
- Government is also arguing that the policy also applies to all those who previously entered the US and were then returned to Mexico under MPP ("Remain in Mexico).



# Litigation challenging the rule

- Two challenges
  - East Bay Sanctuary Covenant v. Barr, N.D. Cal. No. 19-4073, filed by the ACLU on behalf of various organizations in the Northern District of California
    - Before Judge Tigar, with TRO hearing today.
    - UPDATE: Judge Tigar issued a preliminary injunction on July 24 after this briefing was recorded.
  - CAIR Coalition v. Trump, D.D.C. No. 19-2117, filed by Hogan Lovells in the District of D.C. on behalf of CAIR Coalition and RAICES
    - Before Judge Kelly, who denied a TRO in a decision this morning.



## Litigation challenging the rule

- Main arguments against the policy:
  - The rule violates the Immigration and Nationality Act, which contains a general rule allowing individuals apply for asylum and sets out much narrower situations rendering individuals ineligible for asylum
  - As applied to unaccompanied children, the rule violates the Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act
  - The rule was improperly issued without notice and comment and therefore violates the APA



# **Practice Pointers and Case Strategy**

- Continue to apply for asylum to preserve eligibility in light of ongoing litigation
- Incorporate the litigation arguments in your briefing and arguments
  - The rule violates the INA
  - If applicable, the rule violates the TVPRA
  - The rule violates the APA
- Talk to your clients to clarify forward-facing applicability
- Notify Tahirih of what you are seeing in your case(s) or colleagues' cases



### Overview of expanded expedited removal

- Expedited removal is the power of low-level immigration officers to remove (deport) a person without that person having the opportunity to go before an immigration judge
- Since 2004, has been applied to those in the US for less than 14 days and encountered less than 100 miles from a border
- Effective yesterday, the notice expands expedited removal authority over any non-citizen and non-permanent resident who
  - Has not been lawfully admitted or paroled, and
  - Has not been present in U.S. continuously for two years or more, and
  - Is encountered anywhere in the U.S.



# Overview of expanded expedited removal

- A few exceptions
  - If they express fear of removal to home country, they must be referred for a credible fear interview.
    - If they pass, they are referred to immigration court for defensive asylum
    - If they do not pass, they can request a redetermination hearing before judge
  - If they prove to an immigration officer that they've been present for 2+ years
    - Burden is on the immigrant
  - Unaccompanied children are exempted
  - Officers have *discretion* to issue a Notice to Appear in immigration court instead of executing an expedited removal



# Litigation challenging the expansion

• As of now, there is no litigation challenging the notice, but the ACLU and AIC have said they will file a challenge



### **Practice Pointers and Strategies**

- If your client is not already in removal proceedings and has been present in the U.S. for more than 2 years, they should collect documentation of that continuous presence
  - Avoid items that document alienage or lack of lawful immigration status
  - Whether to carry them or have them in a safe place is a personal choice.
    - May not be time to get them if arrested by ICE
    - Documents presented to ICE could be used against them in removal proceedings or potentially criminal proceedings
    - There is a risk of losing those documents to ICE
- If present less than two years, collect humanitarian interest evidence.
- Review know-your-rights and family preparedness information with your clients.



### **Practice Pointers and Strategies**

- Screen clients for fear of return and where applicable, prepare for credible fear interview.
  - Pro Bono Network training forthcoming
- Prepare a letter for your client to carry that includes your name and contact information, and advises, as applicable:
  - They are represented and request to speak to their attorney
  - They have been present in the U.S. for more than two years
  - They have a fear of return to their home country and request a credible fear interview



# How You Can Help

- Co-counseling all types of cases
- Supporting work on border
- Litigation matters



# Questions?

Kursten Phelps Director of Legal & Social Services <u>kurstenp@tahirih.org</u> 571-356-9492

Richard Caldarone Litigation Counsel <u>richardc@tahirih.org</u> 571-249-2131

A recording of this briefing will be posted on Tahirih's Pro Bono E-Library at <u>www.tahirih.org</u>

